

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,814	10/29/2003	Shuzo Sato	075834.00449	9555
33448	7590 05/27/2004		EXAMINER	
-	J. DEPKE LEWIS T. S	VALENTINE, DONALD R		
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLC 131 SOUTH DEARBORN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
30TH FLOOR			1742	
CHICAGO, IL 60603			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/697,814	SATO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Donald R. Valentine	1742
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-35</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13 and 18</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-17 and 19-35</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the E frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/963,966</u> . d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/697,814

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhou et al.

Zhou et al (6,402,931) show apparatus comprising processing solution supplying means (218) for supplying a processing solution over a surface to be polished at least substantially parallel to the surface, (see Fig. 2, 3B and 11; col. 8, lines 24-35; and col. 15, lines 35-44), and is shown to be useful for machining (polishing) passive oxide films on a workpiece surface (see col. 9, lines 60-65).

The reference appears to demonstrate the conventional cathode-anode relationship found in electropolishing, i.e., workpiece being anodic and tool being cathodic. (Claim 18).

Application/Control Number: 10/697,814 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

The reference does not specifically recite preferentially removing projecting film by the shear stress of the processing solution".

It would be considered within the skill of the art to removing any projecting film (on the protuberances of the workpiece surface) by polishing action being the result of "shear stress" from the electrolyte directed at the workpiece surface because the reference operates to remove protuberances which are considered an obvious form of "projection portions" which removal would operate to "flatten" the workpiece surface. (Col. 9, lines 15-17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 13 recites the limitation "the film formed outside of the interconnection grooves by a shear stress" in lines 6-7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,814 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 14-17 and 19-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The references of record do not show or suggest polishing apparatus which has a cathode member arranged to face a surface having a film on the surface to be polished, means to supply processing solution over the surface to be polished at least substantially parallel to the surface and removing preferentially projecting portions of the surface film by the shear stress of the processing solution, a power supply for supplying voltage to the cathode and the film as an anode, and wherein the film comprises a copper film.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald R. Valentine whose telephone number is 571-272-1250. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,814 Page 5

Art Unit: 1742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald R. Valentine Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

drv May 25, 2004